

I am writing to make known my opinion of the possibility of reclassifying the net neutrality regulations of isp's under title one instead of title two. This opinion, for your information, is that this is the equivalent of asking murderous psychopaths to promise that they will not continue killing whomever gets in their way -- in other words, I would prefer that the fcc not dismantle or reclassify net neutrality regulations. And while my government may apportion more weight to lobbyists than constituents, I refuse to stand quietly aside as they chip away at the protections that were put in place to keep those with money and influence from twisting the rules to secure their ever-growing coffers and feed their lust for power, and keep me from losing any semblance of control over the information which I consume. I may not have the influence that comes with paying bribes, labeled as donations, and shoveling the bullshit corporations call their public message, but this is what i believe and you do not get to serve in the government that should represent me without hearing my opinion.

Sincerely,
Griffin M. Styslinger
Citizen of Santa Cruz, California, and the United States of America

P.S. It has come to my attention that the fcc is currently being run by a self-serving two-faced sack of rats wearing the skin of an unassuming nerd, known as Ajit Pai. I want to make it known that despite the growing trend of dingo-babysitters being placed in charge of federal agencies, programs, and commissions, i have faith that the long line of american history curves towards justice and social progress. So the aforementioned two-faced sack of rats should be aware that no matter how much he tries to prevent the commission he runs from doing its job, he will eventually be proven just one among many insignificant fallen soldiers fighting to keep the world firmly within the grasp of those that covet power beyond measure.

P.P.S. Just to be clear, the reason that I refer to Ajit Pai and those like him as "dingo-babysitters" is to assert through metaphor that he is a man put in charge of protecting that which he wishes to dismantle (namely the fcc), much like a dingo being put in charge of protecting a human infant that said dingo would no-doubt wish to kill and eat.

P.P.P.S. And I explained the intent of the "dingo-babysitter" is not because I assume that you the reader are not capable of understanding; it is, in fact, because I assume that, being the weasel that he is, Mr. Pai, would comprehend this metaphor and summarily attempt to pretend that somehow the dingo would happen to be a responsible and capable temporary custodian for an infant.